Supplementary materials to "Joint Convolutional Analysis and Synthesis Sparse Representation for Single Image Layer Separation"

Shuhang Gu The Hong Kong Polytechnic University

shuhanggu@gmail.com

Wangmeng Zuo Harbin Institute of Technology

cswmzuo@gmail.com

Deyu Meng Xi'an Jiaotong University dymeng@mail.xjtu.edu.cn

Lei Zhang* The Hong Kong Polytechnic University cslzhang@comp.polyu.edu.hk

In the main paper, we have compared the proposed JCAS model with state-of-the-art algorithms on different applications. On each application, one or two visual examples have been given to show the effectiveness of the proposed JCAS model. In this supplementary, we present more visual comparisons on these applications:

- Rain streak removal
- HDR tone mapping
- Texture-cartoon decomposition
- Contrast enhancement

1. More Results on Rain Streak Removal

In Figures 1-6, we present more visual comparisons results on rain streak removal. Specifically, Figures 1-4 are the comparison results on synthetic data, and Figures 5 and 6 are the comparison results on real data.

^{*}This work is supported by HK RGC General Research Fund (PolyU 152124/15E) and National Natural Science Foundation of China (grant no. 61672446).



(b) Groundtruth



(c) ASR

(d) Kang's method [22]



(e) LRA [9]

(f) DSC [28]







(b) Groundtruth



(c) ASR

(d) Kang's method [20]



(e) LRA [8]

(f) DSC [26]



(g) LP [25] (h) JCAS Figure 2. Rain streak removal results by the competing methods.



(b) Groundtruth



(c) ASR

(d) Kang's method [20]



(e) LRA [8]

(f) DSC [26]



(g) LP [25] (h) JCAS Figure 3. Rain streak removal results by the competing methods.



(b) Groundtruth



(c) ASR

(d) Kang's method [20]



(e) LRA [8]

(f) DSC [26]



(g) LP [25] (h) JCAS Figure 4. Rain streak removal results by the competing methods.



(b) Kang's method [20]



(c) LRA [8]

(d) DSC [26]



(e) LP [25] (f) JCAS Figure 5. Visual comparison of the competing rain streak removal algorithms on a real rainy image.





(a) Input

(d) Kang's method [20]



(e) LRA [8]

(f) DSC [26]



(g) LP [25] (h) JCAS Figure 6. Visual comparison of the competing rain streak removal algorithms on a real rainy image.

2. More Results on HDR Tone Mapping

In Figures 7-10, we present more visual comparisons results on HDR tone mapping.



Result by [12]

Result by [9]



Result by [11]

Result by [33]



Result by [15]

Figure 7. Tone mapping results by the competing methods.



Result by [12]

Result by [9]

Result by [11]



Result by [33]

Result by [15] Figure 8. Tone mapping results by the competing methods.



Result by [12]

Result by [9]



Result by [11]

Result by [33]



Result by [15]

Figure 9. Tone mapping results by the competing methods.



Result by [12]

Result by [9]

Result by [11]



Result by [33]

Result by [15] Figure 10. Tone mapping results by the competing methods.

3. More Results on Texture-Cartoon Decomposition

In Figures 11-13, we present more visual comparisons results on texture-cartoon decomposition.



Input

RTV [39]



FCTF [3]

Figure 11. The texture removal results by the competing methods.



RTV [39]



FCTF [3]

SDF [17]

Figure 12. The texture removal results by the competing methods.



RTV [39]



Figure 13. The texture removal results by the competing methods.

4. More Results on Contrast Enhancement

In Figures 14-16, we provide more contrast enhancement results by the competing methods.



Input



NEPA [36]

PMSIR [13]



WVRI [14]

Figure 14. Tone mapping results by the competing methods.





NEPA [36]

PMSIR [13]



WVRI [14]

Figure 15. Tone mapping results by the competing methods.





NEPA [36]

PMSIR [13]



WVRI [14]

Figure 16. Tone mapping results by the competing methods.