

Anti-Glare: Tightly Constrained Optimization for Eyeglass Reflection Removal

Tushar Sandhan & Jin Young Choi Seoul National University, South Korea

Introduction

Absence of a clear visibility not eye only degrades the aesthetic value of an entire face image but also creates difficulties in many computer vision tasks.



Figure 1: An input from [4] having synthetic reflections and our result

So we try to increase the eye visibility from a single image in the presence of eyeglass reflections.

Contributions

- 1. Inspected the salient properties of eyeglass materials.
- 2. Derived the priors using following cues:
 - Single side illumination on eyeglasses \Rightarrow reflections with sharp & sparse gradients.
 - Residual reflections: eyeglass attenuates each light
 - λ differently \Rightarrow color tint & piecewise constancy
 - Bilateral symmetry: $\diamond | \diamond |$
- 3. Prior (residual map) is used to gradually tighten the constraints in an optimization problem at each iteration.
- 4. Eyes with Eyeglasses (EwE): a synthetic dataset is cre-ated & evaluated for iris detection. (dataset will be available at http://pil.snu.ac.kr)



$$M_{H_t} = \exp\left(-\eta_1 \|H_I - \bar{\mu}(H_{R_t})\|^2\right).$$
 (2)

$$M_{R_{t}}[i] = \frac{1}{w} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}_{i}} W[k] \exp\left(-\eta_{2} \|i - k\|^{2}\right) \frac{M_{H_{t}}[k]}{\max(M_{H_{t}})},$$
$$W[k] = \exp\left(-\eta_{3} \left\|\Delta_{i,k}H_{I}\right\|^{2} - \eta_{4} \left\|\Delta_{i,k}S_{I}\right\|^{2}\right),$$
(3)

$$\Gamma_t = \bar{\mu}(I_{R_t})M_{R_t}.$$
(4)

$$\min_{I_R} \sum_{i} \left\{ \sum_{j \in \mathbb{J}_R} |D_i^j I_R|^{\alpha} + \sum_{j \in \mathbb{J}_B} \frac{\lambda}{2} \left\| D_i^j I_R - D_i^j I \right\|^2 \right\}$$

$$+ \frac{\gamma}{2} \left\| \widetilde{\mathbf{W}}_{af} I_R - \widetilde{\mathbf{W}}_{af} I \right\|^2, \quad \text{s.t.} \quad \kappa \Gamma_t[i] \leq I_R[i] \leq I[i],$$
(5)

92 dB 913	21.93 dB 0.8915	28.92 dB 0.9689	35.80 dB 0.9880	-
	Sparsity $(\gamma=0, \kappa=0 \text{ in } (5))$	Symmetry ($\gamma \neq 0$, $\kappa = 0$ in (5))	Tight constr. $(\gamma \neq 0 \ \kappa \neq 0 \text{ in } (5))$	G.Truth

Results



tems. On EwE dataset: ROC curve in Fig. $5 \Rightarrow$ the greater the eye visibility, the better the iris detection accuracy.

Conclusion

This method removes eyeglass reflections from a single frontal face image. What if reflections turn out to be perfectly symmetric? What about specular reflections? What if face is out-of-plane rotated?

References

- [2] Anat Levin and Yair Weiss. User assisted separation of reflections from a single image using a sparsity prior. *IEEE Transactions on Pattern*
- Analysis and Machine Intelligence, 29, 2007
- Recognition (CVPR), 2014.
- review. Energy Environ. Sci., 2011.
- Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR), 2015.



Figure 5: ROC Iris det.

Using I_h from

Li&Brown method

0.5

False positive rate

^[1] Dinguo Chen. Anti-reflection (ar) coatings made by sol-gel processes: A review. Solar Energy Mat. & Solar Cells, 2001.

^[3] Y. Li and M. S. Brown. Single image layer separation using relative smoothness. In *IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern*

^{4]} Premium eyewear. Frames for america, Inc. accessed on, 2016. https://www.framesdirect.com. Hemant Kumar Raut, V. Anand Ganesh, A. Sreekumaran Nair, and Seeram Ramakrishna. Anti-reflective coatings: A critical, in-depth [6] YiChang Shih, D. Krishnan, F. Durand, and W. T. Freeman. Reflection removal using ghosting cues. In *IEEE Conference on Computer*